UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,312	10/14/2003	Blaine J. Thurgood	2269-5520.1US (02-0676.01	5043
24247 TRASK BRIT	7590 <b>09/17/200</b> Γ	7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2550			CHANG, RICK KILTAE	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3726	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/17/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTOMail@traskbritt.com

		ill	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/685,312	THURGOOD, BLAINE J.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Rick K.//Chang	3726	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- port will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  Poply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ▼ The 3 Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final.  vance except for formal matte		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-11 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 12-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and complete to the complete	wn from consideration.		
		·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an according and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the specific and the second and the specific an	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyan ection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burest * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received.  nts have been received in Apiority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)    O		ummary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		/Mail Date formal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 3726

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/14/07 has been entered.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-3 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The disclosure, as originally filed, failed to provide support for removing dielectric material step and then sizing, configuring and positioning step. It would appear that the sizing and configuring step be performed before the removing step. Further, the disclosure filed to provide support for performing the sizing, configuring and positioning step at the same time. Furthermore, the configuring and positioning step imply removing the dielectric material to form the first and second segments. The disclosure failed to provide support for performing the removing step twice.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,312 Page 3

Art Unit: 3726

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-3 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention. Evidence that claims 1-3 and 12-20 fail to correspond in scope with that which applicant(s) regard as the invention can be found in the reply filed 1/12/04. In that paper, applicant has stated in the claim 1 as follows: providing a substantially planar substrate and then forming an elongated interconnect slot ..., and this statement indicates that the invention is different from what is defined in the claim(s) because the claims require removing a dielectric material that is not the same dielectric material from providing step in line 2 and performing sizing, configuring and positioning step at the same time. Further, as noted above, the configuring and positioning step imply removing the dielectric material to form the first and second segments.
- 6. Claims 1-3 and 12-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

For example,

Claim 1, lines 4-6: it is unclear where the forming step is performed. Is it on another planar substrate that is aligned with the longitudinal axis? (see claim 15).

Claim 1, line 8: Is "dielectric material" referring to "a dielectric material" in line 2 or some other dielectric material? (see claim 15).

### **Conclusion**

Application/Control Number: 10/685,312

claims. See 37 CFR 1.111 and MPEP 2163.06.

Art Unit: 3726

7. Please provide reference numerals (either in parentheses next to the claimed limitation or in a table format with one column listing the claimed limitation and another column listing corresponding reference numerals in the remark section of the response to the Office Action) to all the claimed limitations as well as support in the disclosure for better clarity (optional). Applicants are duly reminded that a full and proper response to this Office Action that includes any amendment to the claims and specification of the application as originally filed requires that the applicant point out the support for any amendment made to the disclosure, including the

Page 4

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rick K. Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4564. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 AM to 1:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David P. Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/685,312

Art Unit: 3726

/Rick K. Chang/ Primary Examiner, A.U. 3726

Page 5

RC August 20, 2007